

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/987,628	11/15/2001	P. S. Mohandas	01010-1002	5310	
7	7590 03/24/2003				
DITTHAVONG & CARLSON, P.C.			EXAMINER		
Suite A 10507 Braddoo		NGUYEN, KHANH V			
Fairfax, VA 2	22032		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2817		
		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	Ψ			
. Office Action Summary		09/987,628		MOHANDAS ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Khanh V. N		2817				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	December 20	002 .					
2a)□	·	nis action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except	for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
-	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4763	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,10-13 and 16</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) <u>2,3,9,14,15,17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
7—	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Noti 2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/987,628

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by JP 2001126293 (SHARP).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 8, 11, Japan (Fig. 2) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising: a block (5) comprises a current source (13) connected to ground, thus current source (13) is capable of configure to sink a current; and a differential amplifier (3) having a first input (+) coupled to a photodiode (PD) via block (4) and a second input (-) coupled to the current source (13), wherein the photodiode can be read as a sensor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Regarding claim 13, Sharp discloses bipolar transistor (Tr3).

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

·Application/Control Number: 09/987,628

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 4-6, 10, 12, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2001126293 (SHARP).

Regarding claim 4, 5, Japan discloses the claimed invention except that current source is a field effect transistor. Japan (Fig. 2) discloses a current source (13) coupled between the second input (-) and ground. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced current source (13) of Japan with a field effect transistor since it is well-known in the art that current source can be a field effect transistor (see Patent 5,742,183, Figs. 11A-11C). Regarding the operation of the current source or field effect transistor would be considered a matter of design engineering or system configuration to configure the current source or field effect transistor to operate as desired.

Regarding claim 6, Sharp (Fig. 2) discloses bipolar transistor (Tr3) having an emitter coupled to a second input (-) via transistor (Tr4) and current source (13).

Regarding claim 10, Sharp (Fig. 2) discloses an amplifier circuit comprising: a photodiode (PD) can be read as a sensor generates a current at a first node coupled directly to input (+) of differential amplifier (3); a current source (13) having one terminal connected to ground for sinking at a second node coupled directly to input (-) of differential amplifier (3). Regarding the operation of the current source or field effect transistor would be considered a matter of design engineering or system configuration to configure the current source to operate as desired (current source greater than current generated by the sensor).

Application/Control Number: 09/987,628

Art Unit: 2817

Regarding claim 12, Sharp discloses the claimed invention except the type of transistor used. However, it is known in the art that bipolar transistor and field effect transistor can be used interchangeably. Thus, substituting bipolar transistor for filed effect transistor is considered a matter of design engineering.

Regarding claim 16, amount of current produced can be configured according to system design engineering.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3, 9, 14, 15, 17, 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 2, 3, 14, 15, 17, 18 call for, among others, first and second feedback resistors (111, 113).

Claim 9 calls for, among others, post amplifier (305) having the connection thereof.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9058. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service at (703) 872-9317.

 $\mathcal{N}K\mathcal{V}$

03/20/03

Nguyen, Khanh Van

Group 2800, Art Unit 2817